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**Introduction**

Asilinae Latreille, 1802 is the most diverse subfamily of Asilidae, including 179 extant genera, distributed in all biogeographic regions, except Antarctica (Geller-Grimm, 2004; Londt, 2005; Vieira, 2012; Artigas and Vieira, 2014; Vieira and Rafael, 2014). Sixty-eight genera are recognized in the Neotropical region, of which 20 occur in Brazil (Papavero, 2009; Vieira, 2012; Artigas and Vieira, 2014; Vieira and Rafael, 2014). *Triorla* Parks, 1968 is characterized by vein R₅ ending before wing apex, male terminalia parallel with longitudinal axis of the body and tergites 9 and 10 of ovipositor fused together (Parks, 1968). The Neotropical and Nearctic *Triorla* was proposed based on two species – the type species *T. interrupta* (Macquart, 1834), with the type locality U.S.A. (distribution: U.S.A., Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama, and Colombia) and *T. striola* (Fabricius, 1805), with the type locality “South-America” (distribution: Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, Guyana and Surinam, and south of Paraguay) (Papavero, 2009).

A third species of the genus, *T. parastriola* Pamplona and Aires, 1999 was described from Roraima State in the Brazilian Amazon (Pamplona and Aires, 1999). Recently, seven species of *Triorla* were proposed by Tomasovic (2002) based on the type-material of *Erexs* Scopoli, 1763, described by Macquart (1838, 1846, 1850) and deposited in the French museums of Lille and Paris, including: (1) two new species, *T. spina* and *T. trichina*; (2) five species that were transferred to *Triorla*: *T. argyrogaster* (Macquart, 1846), *T. demifasciata* (Macquart, 1850), *T. nervosa* (Macquart, 1838), *T. tibialis* (Macquart, 1838), and *T. vicina* (Macquart, 1846); and (3) three species previously synonymized with *T. interrupta* that were re-established to specific status: *T. ambigua* (Macquart, 1846) and *T. maculatus* (Macquart, 1838) synonymized by Martin and Papavero (1970), and *T. rubidiventris* (Macquart, 1850) synonymized by Artigas and Papavero (1997).

Papavero (2009), in the Catalogue of Neotropical Asilidae, allocated *T. ambigua* and *T. rubidiventris* in *Triorla*, but did not include *T. vicina* and *T. tibialis* as valid species for the genus. He also proposed *T. maculatus* as a synonym for *T. striola*. Hence, at the present time, 10 species of *Triorla* are recognized in Nearctic and Neotropical regions (Table 1).

Here we describe four new species of *Triorla* from Brazil, and include morphological comments concerning *T. parastriola*. An identification key, as well as geographical records and biology data (when available) are provided.

**Material and Methods**

Specimens from four Brazilian insect collections were examined. The abbreviations of the collections referred to in this paper are: INPA – Coleção de Invertebrados do Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa da Amazônia, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil; MPEG – Coleção de Invertebrados do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém, Pará, Brazil; MZFS – Coleção Entomológica Prof. Johann Becker do Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil.
Brazil; MIZUSP – Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. Dissected terminalia were placed in a 10% KOH solution at 40°C for 8 h, washed in water, treated with 10% acetic acid, and subsequently examined in concavity slides in glycerin. After examination and illustration, the detached parts were placed in microwaves with glycerin and pinned with their respective specimens. The female terminalia were mounted on permanent slides using Canada balsam. The terminology used in the morphological descriptions follows Cumming and Wood (2009).

**Taxonomy**

**Triorla Parks**


Type-species: *Asilus interruptus* Macquart, 1834 – Macquart (1834: 310).

Diagnosis based on Parks (1968) and Pamplona and Aires (1999). Ocellar tubercle with few macrosetae or setae; stylus without microsegment; R₂ ending before wing apex; short stumpy vein at base of R₄ not reaching base of R₂₊₃; male terminalia parallel with longitudinal axis of the body; gonostylus situated on proximal portion of gonoxite; hypandrium well-developed, approximately 0.5 x the length of epandrium; apex of aedeagus with 3 thin prongs, approximately as long as the flagellum (postpedicel sensu Stuckenberg, 1999), with dilations near apex; prongs tapered after dilations; female terminalia with tergite VII prurinulence, except on shiny posterior margin; segment VIII shiny; sternite VIII basally plate-like and hypogynial valves forming keel distally; ovipositor conical, not laterally compressed, not longer than abdominal segments VI and VII together; ovipositor without apical spur.

Remarks. The grammatical genus of *Triorla* is feminine. This affirmation is based on the specific epithet of the type-species, *T. interrupta*. Parks (1968) did not address this point in the original description of the genus.

Two species, previously transferred to *Triorla* by Tomasovic (2002), *Erax tibialis* Macquart and *Erax vicinus* Macquart, are not included in the list of recognized species in the Catalogue of Neotropical Asilidae prepared by Papavero (2009). We agree with this view as Tomasovic’s illustrations of their male terminalia make it unlikely that they are *Triorla* species.

**Key to the males of Triorla Parks from Nearctic and Neotropical regions**

1. Gonostyle with long spur-like macrosetae (see Tomasovic, 2002: 80, Fig. 17b) ........................................................................................................... *T. spinosa* Tomasovic  
2. Gonostyle with diminate setae (Figs. 19, 27, 43, 51, 57) ................................................................................................................................. 2  
3. Epandrium, in lateral view, divided in two projections, format of V shaped (see Tomasovic, 2002: 65, Fig. 2a) ................................................................. *T. ambiguus* (Macquart)  
4. Epandrium, in lateral view, not V-shaped (Figs. 21, 29, 36, 40, 53, 59) .......................................................................................................................... 3  
5. Distal region of epandrium with 3 projections .......................................................................................................................... ....................................... 4  
6. Distal region of epandrium with at most 2 projections (Figs. 21, 29, 36, 40, 53, 59) ................................................................. ....................................... 6  
7. Median projection of epandrium larger than lateral ones (see Tomasovic, 2002: 75, Fig. 12a) ................................................................. ....................................... *T. rubidiventris* (Macquart)  
8. Median projection of epandrium smaller than lateral ones ........................................................................................................ 5  
9. Posteroventral projection of epandrium with abruptly acute apex; median projection situated on internal margin of epandrium (see Tomasovic, 2002: 66, Fig. 3a) ........................................................................................................... *T. argyrogaster* (Macquart)  
10. Posteroventral projection of epandrium elongated with truncated apex; median projection situated on external margin of epandrium (see Tomasovic, 2002: 73, Fig. 10a) ........................................................................................................... *T. nervosa* (Macquart)  
11. Median-apical region of dorsal margin of epandrium strongly angled downwards (Figs. 21, 53, 59) ......................................................................................... 7  
12. Median-apical region of dorsal margin of epandrium straight (Fig. 40) ................................................................................................................................. 10  
13. Proximal region of hypandrium rounded (Figs. 24, 50) ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... 8  
14. Proximal region of hypandrium more or less straight (Figs. 18, 56) ................................................................................................................................. 9  
15. Distal region of hypandrium with median projection (Fig. 50) ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. paraensis* sp. nov.  
16. Distal region of hypandrium without median projection (Fig. 24) ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. beckeri* sp. nov.  
17. Hypandrum subtriangular with median-apical spatulate projection (Fig. 56) ............................................................................................................................. 9  
18. Hypandrum pentagonal with apex of median-apical rounded projection (Fig. 18) ............................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. parastrigola* Pamplona and Aires  
19. Hypandrum margin with distinctly elongated projection ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. interrupta* (Macquart)  
20. Posteroventral margin of epandrium without projection (Figs. 36, 40) .......................................................................................................................... ....................................... 11  
21. Distal margin of epandrium truncated in lateral view (see Tomasovic, 2002: 81, Fig. 18a) .......................................................................................................................... ....................................... *T. trichina* Tomasovic  
22. Distal margin of epandrium rounded in lateral view (Figs. 36, 40) ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... 12  
23. Ejaculatory apodeme with concentric rows of diminute spines ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. striola* (Fabricius)  
24. Ejaculatory apodeme without concentric rows of spinesines ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... 13  
25. Distal margin of gonoxite pointed, ventral margin curved (Fig. 41) ................................................................................................................................. ....................................... *T. diminefasciata* (Macquart)  
26. Distal margin of gonoxite truncated, ventral margin straight (see Tomasovic, 2002: 69, Fig. 6b) .......................................................................................................................... .......................................
Table 1
List of species of Triorla in Nearctic and Neotropical regions, followed by author, year, and distribution. The list includes the new species here described.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid species</th>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triorla ambiguа</td>
<td>Macquart (1846)</td>
<td>USA (Texas); Mexico (Yucatán)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla argyrogastrе</td>
<td>Macquart (1846)</td>
<td>Mexico (Oaxaca, Yucatán), Honduras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla beckeri sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil (Bahia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla demifasciata</td>
<td>Macquart (1850)</td>
<td>Guyana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla interruptа</td>
<td>Macquart (1834)</td>
<td>U.S.A., Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla milineae sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil (Ceará, Bahia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla nervosa</td>
<td>Macquart (1838)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla paraensis sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil (Roraima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla parastriola</td>
<td>Pamplona and Aires (1999)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla rubidiventris</td>
<td>Macquart (1850)</td>
<td>Brazil (Amazonas, Pará, São Paulo, Mato Grosso do Sul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla spatulata sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla spinosa</td>
<td>Tomasovic (2002)</td>
<td>Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, Guyana and Surinam south to Paraguay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla striola</td>
<td>Fabricius (1805)</td>
<td>French Guiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triorla trichina</td>
<td>Tomasovic (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Triorla parastriola** Pamplona and Aires, 1999

Figs. 5, 10, 14, 16–21

*Triorla parastriola* Pamplona & Aires, 1999: 1128, 1130–1131, Figs. 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 14; Papavero, 2009: 24 (catalogue).

Comments: *T. parastriola* differs from the others species of the genus by the characters presented in the key. Head as shown (Figs. 5, 10). *T. parastriola* has a predominantly black abdomen, except for the lateral silver areas; tergite I laterally with 2–4 black macrosetae and yellow setae; apex of epandrium curved distally.

---

(Figs. 21); inner margin of epandrium with spines on distal 2/3; hypandrium pentagonal with apex of median-apical rounded projection (Fig. 18); ejaculatory apodeme without spines (Fig. 20). Head, wing and other anatomical characteristics of male terminalia as shown (Figs. 5, 10, 14, 16–21).


*Triorla beckeri* Castro, Bravo and Vieira **sp. nov.**

**Figs. 1, 6, 11, 22–29**

Diagnosis: four occular setae; face and front yellow pruinose; dorsal margin of anepisternum white setose; 8 prontal macrosetae; tergite I with 3–4 black and yellow macrosetae; epandrium with apical concavity in lateral view, macrosetae in mediodorsal region (Fig. 29); gonocoxite triangular, ending in an acute, short projection in posteroventral region (Fig. 23); gonostylus 5.5× longer than wide, bilobed at apex (Fig. 27); distal region of hypandrium without median projection (Fig. 24); ejaculatory apodeme without spines (Fig. 28); aedeagal prongs with 1 subapical dilation (Figs. 22, 28).

Description. Male. Head (Figs. 1, 6). Ocellar tubercle with 4 yellow setae at apex, 4–6 brown setae laterally, 17–19 yellow postocular macrosetae, 4–7 black and yellow lateral orbital macrosetae. Mystax: black macrosetae dorsally, yellow macrosetae laterally; median and inferior region with 12 macrosetae and setae, the inferior macrosetae transversally distributed; 3–4 pairs of subvibrissal macrosetae. Inferior occipital setae white and branched, and 12–13 occipital macrosetae. Basal proboscal white setae. Mentum with yellow and apically undulated setae. Palpus brown setose on distal half and yellow to pale on proximal half. Face and front yellow pruinose; face wide in frontal view, face at antennal level 1.2× as wide as eyes. Front with brown transversal vitae; gena and epistomal margin brownish-white pruinose. Scape 2.0× the length of pedicel, black setae dorsally and yellow setae ventrally. Facial gibbosity developed. Lateral width of gena 0.25× the lateral length of compound eye.

Thorax. Eight prontal macrosetae. Postpronotal lobe white setose; 2 pairs of black notopleural macrosetae; 2 pairs of black supraalar macrosetae; 2 pairs black postalar macrosetae; 6 black scutellar macrosetae apically; scutellar disc yellow, setose yellow basally, black apically, undulated at apex; posterior acrostichal setae replaced by black apically undulated setae; 4 pairs dorsocentral black macrosetae. Mesonotum mainly brownish. Anepisternum with white setae on dorsal margin; basalar scle-rite black setose; katepisternum with few white setae; anepimeron with some white setae; katepimeron glabrous.

Wing. (Fig. 11); membrane yellowish. Halters brownish.

Abdomen (Fig. 25). Tergite I with 3–4 black and yellow macrosetae; posterior margin fringed with yellow macrosetae, remaining surfaces yellow setose. Lateral margins of tergite II yellow setose; dorsal surface yellow setose. Lateral margins of tergite III with short
yellow setae. Tergites IV–V dark brown to black with transversal posterior band light-brown. Tergite VI white, with a median and triangular black spot. Tergite VII black, with lateral white margins.

Terminalia (Figs. 22–24, 26–29). Epandrium in lateral view, with mediadorsal region bearing differentiated macrosetae (Figs. 26, 29); cercus elliptic, ending at same level as alate projections of subepandrial sclerite. Hypandrium with rounded distal margin, without median projection (Fig. 24). Gonocoxite triangular, ending in acute, short projection in posteroventral region (Fig. 23). Gonocoxite 5.5× longer than wide and 1.5× the length of gono- coxite, bilobed at apex (Fig. 27). Aedeagal prongs with subapical dilation (Figs. 22, 28); aedeagal sheath as long as prongs (Fig. 28), dilation of median prong situated more anteriorly than same on lateral prongs (Fig. 22).

Size. Body length 15 mm.

Female. Unknown.

Etymology. This species is named for Johann Becker, a Brazilian entomologist.

Geographical records. Brazil: Bahia State.


_Triorla mileneae_ Castro, Bravo & Vieira _sp. nov._

_Figs. 2, 7, 12, 30–47_

Diagnosis: seven black ocellar setae; face and front covered with yellow and brown pruinescence; anepisternum with white setae on dorsal surface; seven to nine pairs of yellow pronotal macrosetae; tergite I with four to six black macrosetae; distal margin of gonocoxite pointed, ventral margin curved (Fig. 43); gonostylus 2.0× longer than wide (Figs. 43, 46); epandrial apex without posteroventral acute projection and without rounded median projection (Figs. 36, 40); ejaculatory apodeme without concentric rows of diminate spines (Fig. 42); aedeagal prongs with two subapical dilations (Figs. 42, 47).

Description. Male, habitus lateral (Fig. 30) and frontal (Fig. 31). Head (Figs. 2, 7). Seven black ocellar setae, some of them undulated at apex; seven to eight black and brown postocular macrosetae; five to seven orbital macrosetae; dorsal portion of mystax with five to six macrosetae; median and ventral portion of mystax with 12 brown macrosetae; three to five black subvibrissal macrosetae; inferior occipital setae white and branched; five to seven occipital macrosetae, yellow or white; proboscial setae white and branched basally, apically covered with white setae undulated at apex; palpus with black setae. Face and front covered with yellow and brown pruinescence; face wide at antennal level, as wide as eye in lateral view; front with a dark brown transversal spot; gena with brown pruinescence. Scape 2.0× the length of pedicel, with white setae on ventral surface; postpedicel 1.6–1.7× the length of style. Gena covered with dark brown pruinescence.

Thorax. Seven to nine pairs of yellow pronotal macrosetae; post- pronotal lobe with white setae; two black notopleural macrosetae; two black supraalar macrosetae; one to two pairs of postalar black macrosetae; nine to ten black apical scutellar macrosetae; discal setae black, undulated at apex; posterior acrostichal setae missing, replaced by undulated setae; two dorsocentral macrosetae. Aneplernum with white setae on dorsal surface; basalar sclerite

_Figures 11–15._ Wing. 11. _Triorla beckeri_ _sp. nov._; 12. _Triorla mileneae_ _sp. nov._; 13. _Triorla paraensis_ _sp. nov._; 14. _Triorla parastriola_ Pamplona and Aires, 1999; 15. _Triorla spatulata_ _sp. nov._
with black setae; katepisternum, anepimeron, and katapimeron glabrous.

Wing (Fig. 12); membrane yellowish. Halter brown.

Abdomen (Figs. 30, 32, 33). Tergite I with four to six macrosetae, black; posterior margin fringed with white macrosetae, remaining surfaces covered with white setae, some of them undulated at apex. Lateral margins of tergite II with white setae; dorsal margin with short setae. Lateral margins of tergite III with white setae, dorsal margin with black setae. Tergite VI white, with black median spot. Tergite VII white, with median trapezoidal spot. Tergite VIII white.

Terminalia (Figs. 34–36, 40–47). Lateral view (Fig. 36). Reddish to brown; cercus elliptic, ending at same level as alate projections of subepandrial sclerite. Hyandrium with distal margin bearing a terminal projection with basal bulbosity (Fig. 45). Distal margin of gonocoxite pointed, ventral margin curved (Fig. 43). Gonostylus 2× longer than wide (Fig. 46). Aedeagal prongs with two subapical dilations (Figs. 42, 47); aedeagal sheath as long as length of prongs (Fig. 42). Dilation of median prong situated more anteriorly than lateral prongs (Figs. 42, 47). Ejaculatory apodeme without concentric rows of diminute spines (Figs. 42, 43).

Size. Body length 15–22 mm (N = 110).

Female (Figs. 33, 37–38). Habitus (Fig. 33). Similar to male, except by: body length 17–23 mm (N = 49); tergite VII white with dorsal portion black, with white stripe on lateral margins (Fig. 33); tergite VIII shiny black (Fig. 33). Ovipositor conic (Figs. 33, 37, 38); genital fork with hyaline base (Fig. 39); furcal arms convergent, longer than furcal apodeme (Fig. 39).

Etymology. This species is named after Dra. Milene Castro, the senior author’s beloved wife.

Geographical records. BRAZIL: States of Ceará and Bahia.


Paratypes. MZFS: Bahia state. Barrolândia [15°51’46” S, 38°52’58” W]: 12.iii.1994, J. Santos col. (1♂); 02.xii.1997 (2♂); 2.iii.1998 (1♂); 01.v.1998 (1♂); 22.v.1998 (1♂); 25.ix.1998 (1♂); 18.xii.1998 (1♂); 8.i.1999 (3♂); 5.iii.1999 (1♂); 16.iv.1999 (1♂); 20.i.2002 (1♂); 12.iii.1994 (1♀); 27.ii.1998 (1♀); 09.x.1998 (2♀ MZFS); 6.xi.1998 (1♀); 11.xii.1998 (1♀); 5.iii.1999 (1♀). Baixios 12°06’S; 37°41’W: 25.iii.2008, P. Rebouças col. (5♂); 14.vi.2008.
(1♂); 24.iv.2004 (1♂ INPA); Feira de Santana (UEFS); 26.ii.2002, I. Castro col. (1♂); 5.ix.2006, F. Bravo col. (1♂); 17.x.2007 (3♂); Senhor do Bonfim [10° 27′ 57″ S, 40° 10′ 51″ W]; 6.vii.2005, R. Vieira & E. Souza col. (1♂).

*Triorla paraensis* Castro, Bravo & Vieira sp. nov.

**Figs. 4, 9, 13, 48–53**

Diagnosis: nine black ocellar setae; face and front covered with yellow to brown pruinosecence; anepisternum with a tuft of black and white setae on dorsal margin; 14 yellow pronotal macrosetae; tergite I with black macrosetae and brown undulated setae on apex; gonocoxite with rectangular posteroventral projection (*Figs. 49, 53*); gonostylus bilobed apically (*Fig. 51*); proximal margin of hypandrium rounded, distal margin with long median projection (*Fig. 50*); epandrium in lateral view, with slight concavity (*Fig. 53*); ejaculatory apodeme without spines (*Fig. 52*); aedeagal prongs with two adjacent subapical dilations, the more apical one less inflated (*Figs. 48, 52*).

Description. Male. Head (*Figs. 4, 9*). Nine ocellar setae, black and undulated at apex; eight to twelve brown setae on lateral surface; six to seven black and brown postocular macrosetae; four to five black and yellow orbital macrosetae; dorsal region of mystax with seven black macrosetae, median and ventral region with 14 brownish macrosetae; three to four black subvibrissal macrosetae; inferior occipital setae white and branched; six occipital brownish macrosetae; proboscal setae white and branched at base, whitish and undulated at apex; palpus with black setae. Face and front covered with yellow to brown pruinosecence; face wide at antennal level, the same width as eye in lateral view; front with transversal spot; gena covered with brown pruinosecence. Scape 2.0× the length of pedicel; scape with ventral yellow setae and some black setae on dorsal surface; postpedicel 1.5× the lengths of scape and pedicel together, base dark brown, stylus dark brown to black. Gena dark brown, lateral width of gena 0.17× the lateral length of compound eye.

Thorax. 14 yellow pronotal macrosetae; postpronotal lobe covered with black and white setae; two black notopleural macrosetae; two black supraalar macrosetae; one to three black postalar macrosetae; 12–14 apical scutellar macrosetae; discal setae dark brown, undulated at apex; two black acrostichal setae; four dorsal central macrosetae. Postalar wall with row of yellow setae. Aneipisternum with tuft of black and white setae on dorsal margin; basalar sclerite with black setae. Katepisternum with many brown setae. Katepimeron glabrous.

Wing. (*Fig. 13*); membrane yellowish. Halteres brown.

Abdomen. Tergite I with black macrosetae and brown setae undulated at apex, posterior margin fringed with macrosetae and setae, the remaining surface covered with black and white setae. Lateral margins of tergite II with white setae, dorsal margin covered with short white setae. Lateral margins of tergite III with black setae; dorsal surface covered with short black setae. Tergites IV and V black. Tergite VI white, with median triangular spot. Tergite VII black, with white lateral stripe.

Terminalia (*Figs. 48–53*). Proximal margin of hypandrium rounded, distal margin with long median projection (*Fig. 50*). Gonocoxite with rectangular posteroventral projection (*Figs. 49, 53*). Gonostylus ending with two short lobes (*Fig. 51*). Aedeagal prongs with two terminal dilations each, proximal dilations wider than apical (*Figs. 48, 52*). Ejaculatory apodeme without spines (*Fig. 52*).

Size. Body length 18 mm (N=02).

Female. Unknown.

Etymology. Name is based on type locality.

Geographical records. BRAZIL: Pará State.

Type material. Holotype: BRASIL, Pará, Primavera, Fazenda Fazenda Quatipuru [00° 56′ 34″ S, 47° 06′ 57″ W], 27.xi.1992. Dias. J. col. (♂ INPA).


*Triorla spatulata* Castro, Bravo & Vieira sp. nov.

**Figs. 3, 8, 15, 54–59**

Diagnosis: eight ocellar setae; face and front covered with yellow and brown pruinosecence; anepisternum with tuft of black and white setae on dorsal margin; 12 pronotal macrosetae; tergite I
with three to four black macrosetae; hypandrium subtriangular with median-apical spatulate projection (Fig. 56); epandrium in lateral view, with slight concavity (Fig. 59); gonostylus bilobed distally (Fig. 57); ejaculatory apodeme without spines (Fig. 58); aedeagal prongs with two subapical dilations, median tube shorter than lateral (Fig. 58).

Description. Male. Head (Figs. 3–8). Eight ocellar setae on apex; eight to ten brown setae on lateral tubercular, undulated at apex; nine black postocular macrosetae; 12 black orbital macrosetae. Dorsal portion of mystax with 13 black macrosetae, median and ventral region covered with yellowish macrosetae; three to five subvibrissal black macrosetae; inferior occipital setae white and branched; 14–18 orbital macrosetae. Basal half of palpus with white and brown setae; apical half with black setae. Face and front covered with yellow and brown pruinescence; face wide at antennal level, as wide as one eye in frontal view. Front with transverse spot. Scape 2.0× the length of pedicel, with yellow setae on ventral surface, black on dorsal surface; postpedicel 1.5× the lengths of scape and pedicel together. Gena dark brown, lateral width of gena 0.20× the lateral length of compound eye.

Thorax. 12 pronotal macrosetae; postpronotal lobe with black and white setae, undulated at apex; two notopleural macrosetae; two black supraalar macrosetae; one to two pairs of postalar macrosetae; eight apical scutellar macrosetae; discal setae black; two acrostichal setae; two pairs of black dorsocentral macrosetae. Postalar wall with yellow setae. Anepisternum with tuff of black and white setae on dorsal margin; basalar sclerite with one macroseta. Katepisternum with some white setae. Katepimeron glabrous.

Wing. (Fig. 15); membrane yellowish. Halters brown.

Abdomen. Tergite I with three to four black macrosetae; posterior margin without fringe, remaining surfaces covered with black and white setae. Lateral margins of tergite II with brown setae anteriorly and white setae posteriorly; dorsal margin with short black setae. Lateral margins of tergite III with brown setae anteriorly and white and undulated setae posteriorly. Tergite VI white, with triangular median spot. Tergite VII dark brown to black, with lateral white stripe.

Terminalia (Figs. 54–59). Gonocoxite with posteroventral projection with acute extension (Fig. 55). Gonostylus bilobed distally,
dorsal lobe longer than ventral (Fig. 57). Gonostylus 3.0× longer than wide (Fig. 57). Aedeagal prongs with two subapical dilations, median tube shorter than lateral (Fig. 58). Ejaculatory apodeme without spines (Fig. 58). Hypandrium subtriangular with median-apical spatulate project (Fig. 56). 

Size. Body length 18–22 mm (N = 05).

Female. Unknown.

Etymology. This name refers to the spatulate shape of the hypandrial apex.


Type material. Holotype: BRASIL, São Paulo, Araraquara [21°47′38″ S, 48°10′27″ W], xii.1946, J. Lane col. (♂ MZUSP).


Discussion

The key presented by Artigas and Papavero (1997) for the genera of the “Efferia group” did not allow for the identification of Triorla, since some of the steps in that key did not correspond to the characters observed in the species of that genus. Therefore, the following discussion covers the steps of the key from Artigas and Papavero (1997) that should lead to the identification of Triorla, plus the novel information found in this study.

(a) “Costal section situated between tips of R₅ and M₁ two or more times longer than costal section situated between tips of R₅ and R₄; R₅ ends at or above wing apex” (step 3, p. 58, Artigas and Papavero, 1997). This character is present in the wings of Triorla (Figs. 11–15).

(b) “Hypandrium produced; aedeagus with 3 tubes; female tergite 10 never with spines or spinules; spermathecae with 3 capsules” (step 4, p. 58, Artigas and Papavero, 1997). Regarding the development of the hypandrium, this step is vague and without any indication of its proportion to other terminalia structures.

The characters proposed by the authors above are present in all genera of the Efferia group.

(c) “Wings with a stump vein at the angle of R₄, near its junction with R₅; aedeagus most characteristically curved, more or less crescent-shaped, formed by a very long common tube which opens at the apex into 3 very short tubes, normally forming a “parrot beak”-like structure; male terminalia slender and elongate, forming an angle (up to 90°) with body axis. Female ovipositor strongly flattened laterally, blade-like, tergite 8, more or less elongate and slender” (step 5, p. 59, Artigas and Papavero, 1997). The wing character proposed by Artigas and Papavero (1997) in step 5 was observed in all Triorla species. The aedeagus of the species of Triorla, on the other hand, always had 3 long tubes (Figs. 20, 28, 42, 52, 58), and is therefore different from that described by Artigas and Papavero (1997) in step 5. The inclination of the male terminalia, in relation to the longitudinal axis of the body, varied among the studied Brazilian specimens of the genus. In the diagnosis of the genus provided by Parks (1968), the male terminalia is aligned with the longitudinal axis of the body. Pamplona and Aires (1999) and Tomasovic (2002) did not report this male character in their descriptions of the genus. According to Parks (1968), the ovipositor in Triorla is tapered and shiny black. Pamplona and Aires (1999) and Tomasovic (2002) made no reference to the shape and colour of the ovipositor. The ovipositors of the females of the new species currently described are tapered and shiny black, which agrees with Parks (1968).

In spite of the differences between the Artigas and Papavero (1997) identification key and the characters observed herein, some other particularities are also highlighted in this discussion.
Like most of the species of Asilinae, the new species of Triorla currently proposed are very similar to each other, being distinguished by characters of the male and female terminalia – structures that are mentioned in the diagnosis of each species and in the identification key.

*Triorla beckeri* sp. nov., *T. milineae* sp. nov., *Triorla paraensis* sp. nov. and *T. spatulata* sp. nov. differ from *T. ambiguа*, *T. rubidiventris*, *T. argyrogaster*, and *T. nervosa* by the epandrium not being V-shaped in lateral view, and by the distal margin of the epandrium with at most two projections (Figs. 26, 36, 53, 59).

The basal margins of the hypandrium of *T. spatulata* sp. nov. and *T. parastriola* are more or less straight (Figs. 18, 56), but the apex of the hypandrium of *T. spatulata* sp. nov. is truncated while in *T. parastriola* it is rounded. Furthermore, the basal third has parallel lateral margins (Fig. 56) in *T. spatulata* sp. nov., while in *T. parastriola* these margins are slightly converging towards the base. (Fig. 18).

*Triorla milineae* sp. nov. differs from *T. interrupta* by the posteroventral margin of the epandrium lacking projections (Fig. 36); differs from *T. trichina* by the rounded distal margin of the epandrium in lateral view; differs from *T. striola* by the ejaculatory apodeme lacking rows of spinules; and differs from *T. demifasciata* by the acute distal margin of the gonocoxite and the curved ventral margin.
Triorla striola can be distinguished from other species of the genus, including the new species proposed in this paper, by the presence of concentric spines on the entire blade of the aedeagal apodeme. Triorla striola has been recorded for South America and Brazil, more specifically for the states of Rio Grande do Norte, Espírito Santo, Minas Gerais, Mato Grosso, Goiás, and Paraná (Pamplona and Aires, 1999). Despite its wide distribution, this species has not yet been collected in the state of Bahia.
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